**A4L\_BRIDGE open access** **final report**

**Project name:**

**Receiver**

First name: Surname:

Work e-mail: Phone:

Position (check box):

[ ]  Researcher (Ph.D. title and higher) [ ]  Ph.D. student [ ]  MSc. student

*In the case of a Ph.D. or MSc. student, include also name, surname, and contact details of the principal investigator (PI):*

*First name PI: Surname PI:*

*E-mail PI: Phone PI:*

Institution: *tick the institution you are coming from*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Institution name** | **Country code** | **Abbreviation** |  |
| St. Anne's University Hospital Brno/ICRC | CZ | ICRC | [ ]   |
| Masaryk University - CEITEC | CZ | CEITEC | [ ]   |
| Vilnius University  | LT | VU | [ ]   |
| Medical University in Lodz - MUL | PL | MUL | [ ]   |
| Biomedical Research Center SAS | SK | SAS | [ ]   |
| School of Medicine - University of Zagreb | HR | UZSM | [ ]   |
| University of Ljubljana | SI | UL | [ ]   |
| Medical University Sofia | BG | MUS | [ ]   |
| University of Tartu | ES | UT | [ ]   |
| Semmelweis University | HU | SU | [ ]   |
| Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest  | RO | UMFCD | [ ]   |
| Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis | LV | LIOS | [ ]   |

**Project report (max 2 A4 pages)**

Used core facility/ies or service laboratories:

Project results:

Potential use of the results:

In the case you published results, please put the reference on the publication here:

Feedback:

*Please indicate how satisfied you were with the service quality:*

* *Expertise of laboratory staff (willingness to provide guidance and additional support): low (1) – medium (2) – high (3)*
* *\_\_\_\_*
* *Professional and friendly service (I feel welcome when contacting the laboratory, I get a quick and competent answer): low (1) – medium (2) – high (3)*
* *\_\_\_*
* *Other comments (plain text)*
* *\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_:*

*Please indicate how satisfied you were with the output quality:*

* *Promptness of service and results: low (1) – medium (2) – high (3)*
* *\_\_\_\_*
* *Presentation and accessibility of results (data provided in clear and usable format, further guidance and explanation of results): low (1) – medium (2) – high (3)*
* *\_\_\_\_*
* *Quality and reliability of results: low (1) – medium (2) – high (3)*

*\_\_\_\_\_\_*

* *Other comments (plain text)*
* *\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_:*